

# International Economics Checklist

Content 3.1	Definition	Diagram	Theory/Explanation	Real Life-Example
<p><b>International Trade</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Benefits of Trade</li> <li>• Absolute and Comparative Advantage</li> <li>• The World Trade Organization</li> <li>• Trade protection</li> <li>• Arguments for and against trade protection</li> </ul>	<p><b>Free Trade = International trade with no govt. intervention imposing trade restrictions.</b></p> <p><b>The benefits of trade</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Greater choice for consumers</li> <li>+ Economies of scale benefits for producers as market size increases</li> <li>+ Increased competition - increase efficiency</li> <li>+ Lower prices for customers consumers</li> <li>+ Greater flow of ideas &amp; technology</li> <li>+ Leads to economic growth.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Limitations of theory of Comparative Advantage</b></p> <p><b>Unrealistic assumptions:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Constant production costs</li> <li>• Perfect labor mobility within countries but not between.</li> <li>• No transport costs.</li> <li>• Perfect competition</li> <li>• Free trade</li> <li>• Excessive specialization</li> </ul>		<p><b>Tariff:</b> tax on imports. Specific or Ad Valorem. Imports decrease to <math>Q_4 - Q_3</math>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>\Delta</math> Consumer W: <math>-(1,2,3,4)</math></li> <li><math>\Delta</math> Producer W: <math>+1</math></li> <li><math>\Delta</math> Social W: <math>-(2+4)</math> as govt earns 3</li> </ul> <p><b>Quota:</b> restriction on quantity of imports.</p> <p>The <math>\Delta P</math> and <math>\Delta Q</math> is same as tariff. But, welfare loss is higher as no govt. revenue.</p> <p>Basically, the govt. revenue becomes welfare loss as it is 'quota rents'. Earned by foreign firms who are getting higher prices.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>\Delta</math> consumer W: <math>-(1,2,3,4)</math></li> <li><math>\Delta</math> producer W: <math>+1</math></li> <li><math>\Delta</math> social W: <math>-(2,3,4)</math></li> </ul>
<p><b>Pros and Cons for Trade Protection</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Reduce unemployment. Protects jobs.</li> <li>+ Correct trade deficits.</li> <li>+ Protect against dumping.</li> <li>+ Enhance govt. revenues.</li> <li>+ Protect 'infant' industries.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It leads to inefficiencies as domestic producers are protected.</li> <li>- It limits competition &amp; increases monopoly power.</li> <li>- Leads to higher price for consumers.</li> <li>- Increase import costs for producers. Thus, increasing prices.</li> <li>- Leads to retaliation</li> <li>- Limits technological development &amp; awareness due to limited inflows of ideas &amp; technologies. Limited collaboration.</li> <li>- Limits options for consumers.</li> </ul>	<p><b>World Trade Organization (WTO)</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promotes free trade across countries</li> <li>• Trade liberalization</li> <li>• It creates rules that should be followed</li> <li>• It settles trading disputes</li> </ul> <p><b>Absolute advantage</b> - when a country can produce a good with fewer resources. More productively efficient.</p> <p>Country B for Good Y &amp; Country A for Good X</p> <p>Free trade will lead to greater production &amp; consumption for both as they specialize to produce one single good.</p> <p>But, if one country has wider curve for both goods, theoretically it will not engage in free trade.</p> <p><b>Comparative Advantage:</b> when a country can produce with lower opportunity cost.</p> <p>Opportunity cost = <math>\frac{Q \text{ of good Y}}{Q \text{ of good X}}</math></p> <p>If gradient is not same, then there is room for international trade.</p>	<p><b>Not trade barrier</b></p> <p>The country with PPC more out is have absolute advantage.</p> <p>Do not take new equilibrium. P remains <math>P_w</math> and <math>Q</math> to <math>Q_3</math>. So, imports decrease to <math>Q_2 - Q_3</math>.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><math>\Delta</math> consumer W: <math>0</math></li> <li><math>\Delta</math> producer W: <math>+2</math></li> <li><math>\Delta</math> social: Tax burden due to subsidy on society. So, still negative resource misallocation.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Subsidy</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase domestic price</li> <li>• Increase domestic production</li> <li>• Decrease consumption</li> <li>• Decrease consumers surplus.</li> <li>• Increase producer surplus.</li> <li>• Create tariff revenues</li> <li>• Production inefficiency</li> <li>• Consumption inefficiency</li> <li>• Welfare loss</li> </ul> <p>• Increase domestic price.</p> <p>• Increase domestic production.</p> <p>• Decrease consumption.</p> <p>• Decrease consumer surplus.</p> <p>• Increase producer surplus</p> <p>• 'Quota rents' is a net 3</p> <p>• Production inefficiency. Consumer inefficiency</p> <p>• Welfare loss. (Assuming that quota rents are collected by consumer foreigners)</p> <p>• Subsidy - we don't care about equilibrium. Everything happens at world price.</p> <p>• Quota - we care about final equilibrium. It determines production (rectangle) and consumption.</p> <p>• Tariff - we change world price for domestic consumers. Not difficult to draw.</p>	<p><b>Pros and Cons for Trade Protection</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Reduce unemployment. Protects jobs.</li> <li>+ Correct trade deficits.</li> <li>+ Protect against dumping.</li> <li>+ Enhance govt. revenues.</li> <li>+ Protect 'infant' industries.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It leads to inefficiencies as domestic producers are protected.</li> <li>- It limits competition &amp; increases monopoly power.</li> <li>- Leads to higher price for consumers.</li> <li>- Increase import costs for producers. Thus, increasing prices.</li> <li>- Leads to retaliation</li> <li>- Limits technological development &amp; awareness due to limited inflows of ideas &amp; technologies. Limited collaboration.</li> <li>- Limits options for consumers.</li> </ul>